

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

**CASE NO. 23-CR-20089-KMW**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

**v.**

**ALVARO LEDO NASS,**

**Defendant.**

**PRELIMINARY ORDER OF FORFEITURE**

THIS MATTER is before the Court upon motion of the United States of America (the “United States”) for entry of a Preliminary Order of Forfeiture (“Motion”) against Defendant Alvaro Ledo Nass (the “Defendant”). The Court has considered the Motion, is otherwise advised in the premises, and finds as follows:

On February 24, 2023, the United States filed an Information charging the Defendant with conspiracy to commit money laundering in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h). Information, ECF No. 1. The Information also contained forfeiture allegations, which alleged that upon conviction of a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h), the Defendant shall forfeit any property, real or personal, involved in such offense, and any property traceable to such property, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(1). *See id.* at 2.

On March 29, 2023, the Court accepted the Defendant’s guilty plea to the sole count in the Information. *See* Minute Entry, ECF No. 13; Plea Agreement ¶ 2, ECF No. 15. As part of the guilty plea, the Defendant agreed to the forfeiture of certain bank accounts and a forfeiture money judgment in the amount of \$11,510,025.00. Specifically, among other provisions in the Plea Agreement, the Defendant agreed to the following:

17. The defendant agrees, in an individual and any other capacity, to forfeit to the United States, voluntarily and immediately, any right, title, and interest to any property, real or personal, involved in the commission of the offense, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956, or any property traceable to such property, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(1), and the provisions of 21 U.S.C. § 853. In addition, the defendant agrees to the forfeiture of substitute property pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(p). The property subject to forfeiture includes, but is not limited to:

- a. a forfeiture money judgment in the sum of up to \$11,510,025.00 in U.S. currency, which sum represents the value of the property involved in the offense of conviction that the defendant obtained in accounts he controlled; and
- b. substitute property, including, but not limited to:
  - a. All assets on deposit in account numbers 12687.03 and 12687.05 at Portmann Capital Management Ltd., held in the name of Central Investment Holdings, L.P.;
  - b. All assets on deposit in account numbers 584773.121.3 and 584773.120.5 at EFG Bank S.A., held in the name of Vernon Global Inc.; and
  - c. All assets on deposit at Union Bancaire Privée, UBP SA (f/k/a Millennium Banque Privée), in accounts held in the name of a Ledo Nass.

Plea Agreement ¶ 17.

In support of the guilty plea, the Defendant executed a Factual Proffer, and the Court found that there was a factual basis to support the Defendant's conviction. *See* Factual Proffer, ECF No.

14. The Factual Proffer also provided a basis for the forfeiture of property. *See id.* ¶ 11.

As Defendant agreed in his factual proffer: From in or around September 2011 to in or around March 2015, the Defendant held various positions as an official at Venezuela's state-owned and state-controlled oil company, Petróleos de Venezuela, S.A. ("PDVSA") and its subsidiaries. In particular, from in or around November 2012 to in or around November 2014, the Defendant served as Secretary of the Board of Directors of PDVSA, and from in or around July 2014 to in or around March 2015, the Defendant served as General Counsel of PDVSA. At all relevant times,

the President of the Republic of Venezuela appointed the members of the board of PDVSA, the company responsible for, among other things, the exploration, production, refining, transportation, marketing, and trade in energy resources in Venezuela and also provided funding for other operations of the Venezuelan government. During the relevant period, PDVSA had a significant operating budget and awarded billions of U.S. dollars' worth of contracts each year. PDVSA was also Venezuela's primary source of income and foreign currency (namely, U.S. dollars and Euros), and served as the source of foreign currency used to fund several corrupt foreign exchange schemes.

Starting in or around 2012 and continuing until in or around 2017, the Defendant and others engaged in various foreign currency exchange schemes using loan contracts with PDVSA that were unlawfully obtained via bribes and kickbacks. These schemes exploited the Republic of Venezuela's fixed foreign currency exchange rate, which accorded Venezuelan Bolivars an artificially high value compared to the open foreign currency exchange market. In exchange for his participation in the bribery scheme, including his facilitation of payments to co-conspirators, the Defendant was promised and received a portion of the illicit loan proceeds as bribes. The Defendant conspired with others to engage in monetary transactions involving criminally derived property of a value greater than \$10,000 in U.S. currency in the Southern District of Florida and elsewhere.

For example, the defendant conspired with others, including Carmelo Urdaneta Aqui ("Urdaneta"), Venezuela Official 1, Francisco Convit Guruceaga ("Convit"), and Co-Conspirators 2, 3, and 7, in a corrupt foreign currency exchange scheme involving a loan contract with PDVSA. On or about December 17, 2014, Rantor Capital C.A. ("Rantor"), a Venezuelan shell company, executed a contract with PDVSA in which Rantor agreed to loan 7.2 billion Venezuelan Bolivars

to PDVSA. On or about December 23, 2014, Rantor executed an assignment contract with Eaton Global Services Limited ("Eaton"), in which Rantor assigned its rights as PDVSA's creditor under the loan contract to Eaton and in which PDVSA was given the right to cancel the debt within 180 days by paying the Euro equivalent of \$600 million in U.S. currency (the "Eaton-Rantor Loan Scheme"). The Eaton-Rantor Loan Scheme enabled the Defendant and his co-conspirators to obtain access to the Venezuelan government's fixed foreign currency exchange rate, which valued the Venezuelan Bolivar artificially high compared to the rate available on the open market. The Defendant and his co-conspirators exploited the difference between the two foreign currency exchange rates, resulting in the equivalent of hundreds of millions of U.S. dollars in profits.

In short, Eaton, a company controlled by members of the conspiracy, ended up with the right to loan PDVSA about 7.2 billion Bolivars (worth around \$50 million in U.S. currency based on the open market exchange rate) and to be repaid in the Euro equivalent of \$600 million in U.S. currency, based on an official fixed exchange rate, when the debt was cancelled, profiting by the Euro equivalent of approximately \$550 million in U.S. currency. A significant portion of those illicit profits was paid as bribes to those involved in obtaining the loan contracts, including the Defendant, who agreed to let the loan approval process proceed and to distribute the funds to certain of the co-conspirators involved in approving the contract.

In and around the summer of 2015, the Defendant and his co-conspirators directed a Confidential Source ("CS") to conduct transactions in proceeds derived from the scheme totaling approximately 15 million Euros, including several U.S.-Dollar wire transactions. U.S.-Dollar wire transactions transfer monies through the U.S. banking system through the use of correspondent U.S. banks, even if the U.S.-Dollar wire transaction is between two non-U.S. banking institutions.

The Defendant knew that the CS met with other co-conspirators, including Convit, Urdaneta, Jose Vincente Amparan Croquer ("Amparan"), also known as "Chente," and Hugo Gois ("Gois"), to launder the proceeds from the Eaton-Rantor Loan Scheme. Some of these meetings took place in the Southern District of Florida.

In addition, starting in or around January 2015, in recorded conversations and BlackBerry Messenger chats, Convit and Urdaneta each discussed separately with the CS the CS's receipt of proceeds from the Eaton-Rantor Loan Scheme, and instructed the CS on how to handle the funds. Some of those chats occurred while the CS was in the United States, including the Southern District of Florida.

In or about late 2016 or early 2017, the Defendant met with Amparan, Ralph Steinmann ("Steinmann") and Luis Fernando Vuteff ("Vuteff") in Madrid, Spain. Steinmann and Vuteff agreed with the defendant to launder the defendant's remaining portion of the Eaton-Rantor Loan Scheme proceeds being held by the CS.

During the course of the Eaton Rantor Loan Scheme, the Defendant understood that his co-conspirators would transfer the proceeds of the corruptly obtained loan contracts into the United States and conduct financial transactions in the United States using the proceeds of the corruptly obtained loan contracts.

For example, the Defendant and Co-Conspirator 3 told the CS to assign to Abraham Ortega, former PDVSA Finance Director, \$5 million from the defendant's share of the proceeds from the Eaton-Rantor Loan Scheme, which amounted to approximately \$15 million, as a bribe payment for another scheme. On or about February 28, 2017, at the direction of Ortega and Gustavo Hernandez Frieri (a third-party money launderer introduced to the CS by Ortega), the CS transferred approximately \$5 million from the CS's account at a financial institution in Bahamas

to an account at U.S. Financial Institution 1 in New Jersey. On or about March 14, 2017, the \$5 million was further transferred to another account at U.S. Financial Institution 1 for the ultimate benefit of Ortega.

The Defendant also participated in similar loan schemes involving other companies and PDVSA, as well as other schemes involving joint ventures between other companies and PDVSA, from which he, along with other Venezuelan government officials, received bribes in exchange for their assistance. From the schemes that the defendant participated in between 2012 and 2017, he directly obtained bribe payments totaling at least approximately \$11,510,025 in U.S. currency, a sum which includes approximately \$1,501,000 from the Company B joint venture and the Companies C-D Loan Scheme, and approximately 7,774,000 in Euros, which was approximately \$10,009,025 in U.S. currency at the time, from the Company F Loan Scheme.

During all relevant times, the Defendant knew that he was participating in an illegal bribery and money laundering conspiracy and that the funds he sought to transact in were the proceeds of criminal activity. During all relevant times, it was reasonably foreseeable to the Defendant that the financial institutions that were maintaining his proceeds from criminal activity would conduct U.S.-Dollar financial transactions.

Based on the record in this case, the total value of the property involved in the offense of conviction is \$11,510,025, which sum may be sought as a forfeiture money judgment pursuant to Rule 32.2 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

Accordingly, based on the foregoing, the evidence in the record, and for good cause shown, the Motion is **GRANTED**, and it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(1) and Rule 32.2 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, a forfeiture money judgment in the amount of \$11,510,025.00 is hereby entered against the Defendant.

2. The United States is authorized to conduct any discovery that might be necessary to identify, locate, or dispose of forfeited property, and to resolve any third-party petition, pursuant to Rule 32.2(b)(3), (c)(1)(B) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and 21 U.S.C. § 853(m).

3. Pursuant to Rule 32.2(b)(4) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, this Order is final as to the Defendant.

4. The Court shall retain jurisdiction in this matter for the purpose of enforcing this Order, and pursuant to Rule 32.2(e)(1) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, shall amend this Order, or enter other orders as necessary, to forfeit additional specific property when identified.

**DONE AND ORDERED** in Miami, Florida, this 5th day of June 2023.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
HON. KATHLEEN M. WILLIAMS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE